

OSCA – PREA data

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) requires all state agencies to collect data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control. The agency shall aggregate the data annually. The PREA definitions companion document can be reviewed for assistance. The following juvenile court secure detention facility data related to sexual abuse is for calendar year 2020

Facility Information

1. Circuit and County of facility operation— Missouri Secure Detention DYS Contractual Sites CY20

2. The number of persons in the facility reported in Item 1 for calendar year end were —

1118	Male
277	Female
1395	Total

3. The number of persons in the facility reported in Item 1 for calendar year end were —

1392	Age 17 or younger
3	Age 18 to 20
0	Age 21 or older
1395	Total

4. The number of persons admitted to or discharged from the facility reported in Item 1 for the calendar year were—

1359	Total number admitted
1390	Total number discharged

Youth-on-Youth Incidents Section

5. For calendar year ended, the following best describes secure detention recording of allegations of youth-on-youth nonconsensual sexual acts—

A. Recording of all reported occurrences, or only substantiated ones--

☒ All, OR
☐ Substantiated Only

B. Recording of attempted nonconsensual sexual acts or only completed ones—

☒ Both attempted and completed, OR
☐ Completed only

6. The number of youth-on-youth nonconsensual sexual act allegations (allegations involving multiple victimizations is counted once) reported for the calendar year is—

7. Of the allegations reported in Item 6, the breakdown is as follows —

1	Substantiated
0	Unsubstantiated
0	Unfounded
0	Investigation ongoing
1	Total

8. For calendar year ended, the following best describes detention facility recording of allegations of youth-on-youth abusive sexual contacts —

- A. ☒ Detention facility records allegations of youth-on-youth abusive sexual contacts.
☒ These can be counted separately from allegations of nonconsensual sexual acts, OR
☐ These cannot be counted separately from allegations of nonconsensual sexual acts
(skip to item 11)
- OR
- B. ☐ Detention facility does not record allegations of youth-on-youth abusive sexual contacts.

9. The number of allegations of youth-on-youth abusive sexual contacts reported for the calendar year is—

1

10. Of the allegations reported in item 9, the breakdown is as follows —

0	Substantiated
1	Unsubstantiated
0	Unfounded
0	Investigation ongoing
1	Total

Staff Incidents Section

11. For calendar year ended, the following best describes detention facility recording of allegations of staff sexual misconduct—

- A. ☒ Detention facility records allegations of staff sexual misconduct.
☒ records all reported occurrences, OR
☐ records only substantiated occurrences.
- OR
- B. ☐ Detention facility does not record allegations of staff sexual misconduct.

12. The number of allegations of staff sexual misconduct reported for the calendar year is —

13. Of the allegations reported in item 12, the breakdown is as follows —

1	Substantiated
1	Unsubstantiated
0	Unfounded
0	Investigation ongoing
2	Total

14. For calendar year ended, the following best describes detention facility recording of allegations of staff sexual harassment—

A. ☒ Detention facility records allegations of staff sexual harassment.
☒ These can be counted separately from allegations of staff sexual misconduct, OR
☐ These cannot be counted separately from allegations of staff sexual misconduct
(skip to item 17)

OR

B. ☐ Detention facility does not record allegations of staff sexual harassment.

15. The number of allegations of staff sexual harassment reported for the calendar year is —

0

16. Of the allegations reported in item 15, the breakdown is as follows —

0	Substantiated
0	Unsubstantiated
0	Unfounded
0	Investigation ongoing
0	Total

17. The total number of substantiated incidents reported in items 7, 10, 13, 16 is —

2

18. All allegations reported in 6, 9, 12, and 15 occurred in the secure detention facility —

4